Remarks/Arguments:

By this Amendment, claim 58 has been canceled. Accordingly, only claims 1, 3,

5, 10-31, 46, 47 and 51-57 are currently pending in the application.

In the prior Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 58 under 35 U.S.C. §112.

first paragraph, on grounds that the claim was not specific enough to meet the utility

guidelines set forth by the U.S. Patent Office. Although applicants disagree with the

Examiner's conclusion regarding claim 58, by this Amendment applicants have

canceled claim 58 thereby making the prior rejection moot. Applicants reserve the right

to prosecute a claim having the same scope as claim 58 in one or more continuation.

applications.

In the prior Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1, 3, 5, 10-31, 46,

47 and 51-57 were allowed. Inasmuch as such claims are now the only claims pending

in the application, the prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK, L.L.P.

Randolph E. Digges, III

Reg. No. 40,590

925 Euclid Avenue Suite 700 Cleveland, Objo 4411

Cleveland, Ohio 44115-1405

(216) 566-9700

9